arXiv:0812.3365v1 [math.QA] 17 Dec 2008

Twisted modules for quantum vertex algebras

Haisheng Li*[1], Shaobin Tan**[2], and Qing Wang**
*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Rutgers University, Camden, NJ 08102
**Department of Mathematics, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China

Abstract

We study twisted modules for (weak) quantum vertex algebras and we give a conceptual construction of (weak) quantum vertex algebras and their twisted modules. As an application we construct and classify irreducible twisted modules for a certain family of quantum vertex algebras.

1 Introduction

In [EK], one of a series of papers on quantizations of Lie bialgebras, Etingof and Kazhdan developed a fundamental theory of quantum vertex operator algebras. Inspired by this theory, one of us (H.Li) has extensively studied a notion of (weak) quantum vertex algebra (see [Li4], [Li5], [Li6]). While quantum vertex operator algebras in the sense of [EK] are formal deformations of vertex algebras, weak quantum vertex algebras are generalizations of vertex algebras and vertex super-algebras in a certain direction. A weak quantum vertex algebra satisfies all the axioms that define the notion of vertex algebra (cf. [LL]) except the Jacobi identity axiom that is replaced by a braided Jacobi identity axiom. An interesting feature of this theory is that each weak quantum vertex algebra V that is non-degenerate in the sense of [EK] gives rise to a canonical rational unitary quantum Yang-Baxter operator with one spectral parameter on V. While Jacobi identity amounts to (suitably defined) commutativity and associativity, braided Jacobi identity amounts to associativity and braided commutativity, called $\mathcal{S}$-locality. Thus weak quantum vertex algebras are automatically nonlocal vertex algebras, namely field algebras in the sense of [BK], which are vertex analogs of noncommutative associative algebras.

While nonlocal vertex algebras are arguably too wild to be interesting, weak quantum vertex algebras form a perfect class from various points of view. (Note that in [EK] quantum vertex operator algebras are singled out from the wild family of braided vertex operator algebras by using "associativity.") On the one hand, this class is large enough to include those known generalizations of vertex algebras such as vertex super-algebras and vertex color-algebras and to include interesting new examples. On the other hand, it is tangible. Because of the associativity, weak quantum vertex algebras admit a very nice representation theory, just as ordinary vertex algebras do. It was proved in [Li4] that for any general vector space W, every what was called $\mathcal{S}$-local subset of $\mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x)))$ canonically generates a weak

---

[1] Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0600189
[2] Partially supported by a China NSF grant 10671160

quantum vertex algebra with W as a canonical faithful module. (This generalizes the corresponding result of [Li1], which states that any local subset generates a vertex algebra with W as a canonical faithful module.)

In the theory of vertex (operator) algebras, for a vertex algebra V, in addition to (untwisted) modules, one also has so-called twisted modules with respect to a finite-order automorphism of V. (This is one of those new features of vertex algebras, compared with classical Lie or associative algebras.) The notion of twisted module was originated from the construction of the celebrated moonshine module vertex operator algebra $V^{\natural}$ (see [FLM1], [FLM2], [Le]) and it had played a critical role therein. Twisted representations for general vertex operator algebras have been extensively studied in literature (cf. [Li2] and [DLM]). In particular, a conceptual construction of vertex algebras and their twisted modules was given in [Li2], generalizing the result of [Li1].

In this current paper, we study twisted modules for (weak) quantum vertex algebras and we establish a conceptual construction of weak quantum vertex algebras and their twisted modules by using twisted vertex operators. The main result of this paper naturally generalizes the corresponding results of [Li2] and [Li4]. As an application we construct and classify irreducible twisted modules for quantum vertex algebras $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ which were constructed in [KL] from a square multiplicatively skew complex matrix $\mathbf{Q}$.

To describe the general construction, let W be a general vector space and let N be a positive integer. We consider the space $(\mathrm{End}W)[[x^{1/N},x^{-1/N}]]$, which is naturally graded by the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. Denote by θ the associated linear automorphism of order N (defined by using the principal N-th root of unity). Set

$$\mathcal{E}(W,N)=\oplus_{i=0}^{N-1}x^{\frac{i}{N}}\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x))),$$

a graded subspace, on which θ acts. We say a subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W,N)$ is $\mathcal{S}$-local if for any $a(x),b(x)\in U$, there exist

$$c_i(x),d_i(c)\in U,\ f_i(x)\in\mathbb{C}((x)),\ i=1,\dots,r$$

such that

$$(x_1-x_2)^k a(x_1)b(x_2)=(x_1-x_2)^k\sum_{i=1}^{r}f_i(x_2-x_1)c_i(x_2)d_i(x_1)$$

for some nonnegative integer k. We prove that any $\mathcal{S}$-local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W,N)$, which spans a graded subspace, canonically generates a weak quantum vertex algebra on which θ acts as an automorphism of period N, and that W is a canonical θ-twisted module.

Let $\mathbf{Q}=(q_{ij})$ be an $r\times r$ complex matrix satisfying the condition that $q_{ij}q_{ji}=1$ for $1\le i,j\le r$. Define $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ to be the associative algebra with generators $X_{i,n},\ Y_{i,n}$ for $1\le i\le r,\ n\in\mathbb{Z}$, subject to relations

$$\begin{aligned}&X_{i,m}X_{j,n}=q_{ij}X_{j,n}X_{i,m},\qquad Y_{i,m}Y_{j,n}=q_{ij}Y_{j,n}Y_{i,m},\\&X_{i,m}Y_{j,n}-q_{ij}^{-1}Y_{j,n}X_{i,m}=\delta_{ij}\delta_{m+n+1,0}\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq r,\ m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Associative algebras like $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ have appeared in the study of noncommutative quantum field theory. Notice that if $q_{ij} = 1$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$, which is the universal enveloping algebra of a Heisenberg Lie algebra, is a Weyl algebra. If $q_{ij} = -1$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$, which is the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie superalgebra, is a Clifford algebra. Thus algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ are generalizations of Weyl algebras and Clifford algebras. Let J be the left ideal of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ generated by $X_{i,m},\ Y_{i,n}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r,\ m, n \geq 0$. Set $V_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}/J$, a left $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module, and set $\mathbf{1} = 1 + J \in V_{\mathbf{Q}}$. It was proved in [KL] (cf. [Li5]) that there exists a canonical quantum vertex algebra structure on $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Let N be any positive integer. Define an automorphism θ_N of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that

$$\theta_N(X_{i,m}) = \omega_N X_{i,m}, \qquad \theta_N(Y_{i,m}) = \omega_N^{-1} Y_{i,m}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where ω_N denotes the principal primitive N-th root of unity. This gives rise to an order-N automorphism of the quantum vertex algebra $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$. In this paper, we study θ_N-twisted $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$-modules. We construct θ_N-twisted $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$-modules by using a twisted algebra of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Since $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ are generalizations of Clifford vertex operator superalgebras, our results generalize the corresponding results of [FFR] (cf. [Xu]).

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we define the notion of σ-twisted module for a nonlocal vertex algebra V with an automorphism σ of finite order and we establish several basic results. In Section 3, we give a general construction of weak quantum vertex algebras and twisted modules. In Section 4, we construct and classify irreducible twisted modules for certain quantum vertex algebras of Zamolodchikov-Faddeev type.

2 Twisted modules for nonlocal vertex algebras

In this section we formulate and study a notion of twisted module for a general nonlocal vertex algebra, and we establish certain basic properties. For this paper, the scalar field is the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers and $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of nonnegative integers.

We begin with the notion of nonlocal vertex algebra ([Li4]; cf. [BK], [Li3]):

Definition 2.1. A *nonlocal vertex algebra* is a vector space V equipped with a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\cdot, x) : V &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(V, V((x))) \subset (\mathrm{End} V)[[x, x^{-1}]] \\ v &\mapsto Y(v, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n x^{-n-1} \quad (v_n \in \mathrm{End} V), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

and equipped with a vector $\mathbf{1} \in V$, satisfying the conditions that for $v \in V$,

$$Y(\mathbf{1}, x)v = v, \tag{2.2}$$

$$Y(v, x)\mathbf{1} \in V[[x]] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \to 0} Y(v, x)\mathbf{1} = v \tag{2.3}$$

and that for $u, v, w \in V$, there exists a nonnegative integer l such that

$$(x_0 + x_2)^l Y(u, x_0 + x_2)Y(v, x_2)w = (x_0 + x_2)^l Y(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)w. \tag{2.4}$$

The following was proved in [Li3]:

Lemma 2.2. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra. Define a linear operator $\mathcal{D}$ on V by*

$$\mathcal{D}(v) = v_{-2}\mathbf{1} \quad \text{for } v \in V. \tag{2.5}$$

Then

$$[\mathcal{D}, Y(v, x)] = Y(\mathcal{D}(v), x) = \frac{d}{dx}Y(v, x) \quad \text{for } v \in V. \tag{2.6}$$

Furthermore, for $v \in V$,

$$e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(v, x_1)e^{-x\mathcal{D}} = Y(e^{xD}v, x_1) = Y(v, x_1 + x), \tag{2.7}$$

$$Y(v, x)\mathbf{1} = e^{x\mathcal{D}}v. \tag{2.8}$$

Let U be a subset of a nonlocal vertex algebra V. Denote by $\langle U\rangle$ the *nonlocal vertex subalgebra of V generated by U*, which by definition is the smallest nonlocal vertex subalgebra of V, containing U. From [Li3] we have:

Lemma 2.3. *For any subset U of V, the nonlocal vertex subalgebra $\langle U\rangle$ generated by U is linearly spanned by the vectors*

$$u^{(1)}_{n_1} \cdots u^{(r)}_{n_r}\mathbf{1} \tag{2.9}$$

for $r \geq 0,\ u^{(i)} \in U,\ n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The notion of weak quantum vertex algebra, defined in [Li4], singles out an important class of nonlocal vertex algebras.

Definition 2.4. A *weak quantum vertex algebra* is a vector space V equipped with a linear map

$$Y : V \to \mathrm{Hom}(V, V((x))); \quad v \mapsto Y(v, x)$$

and equipped with a vector $\mathbf{1} \in V$, satisfying the conditions that for $v \in V$,

$$Y(\mathbf{1}, x)v = v, \tag{2.10}$$

$$Y(v, x)\mathbf{1} \in V[[x]] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x\to 0} Y(v, x)\mathbf{1} = v, \tag{2.11}$$

and that for $u, v \in V$, there exist $v^{(i)}, u^{(i)} \in V, f_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x)), i = 1, \dots, r$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} & x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right)Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2) \\ & \qquad -x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(-x_0)Y(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y(u^{(i)}, x_1) \\ = \ & x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right)Y(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2) \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

(the $\mathcal{S}$-*Jacobi identity*).

Just as Jacobi identity does, $\mathcal{S}$-Jacobi identity implies weak associativity, so that a weak quantum vertex algebra is automatically a nonlocal vertex algebra. Furthermore, $\mathcal{S}$-Jacobi identity also implies *$\mathcal{S}$-locality* (cf. [EK]) in the sense that for any $u, v \in V$, there exist $f_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x)),\ u^{(i)}, v^{(i)} \in V$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$, such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^k \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2 - x_1)Y(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y(u^{(i)}, x_1) \tag{2.13}$$

for some nonnegative integer k. In fact (see [Li4]), $\mathcal{S}$-Jacobi identity is equivalent to weak associativity and $\mathcal{S}$-locality, and hence a weak quantum vertex algebra is exactly a nonlocal vertex algebra that satisfies $\mathcal{S}$-locality.

Remark 2.5. Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra and let

$$u, v, u^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, \ldots, u^{(r)}, v^{(r)} \in V;\ f_1(x), \ldots, f_r(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x)).$$

It was proved in [Li4] (Corollary 5.3) that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^k \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2 - x_1)Y(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y(u^{(i)}, x_1)$$

holds for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if

$$Y(u, x)v = \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(-x)e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(v^{(i)}, -x)u^{(i)}. \tag{2.14}$$

Following Etingof-Kazhdan [EK], define a linear map

$$Y(x) : \mathbb{C}((x)) \otimes V \otimes V \to V((x))$$

by

$$Y(x)(f(x) \otimes u \otimes v) = f(x)Y(u, x)v \qquad \text{for } f(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x)),\ u, v \in V.$$

Then a nonlocal vertex algebra V is a weak quantum vertex algebra if and only if

$$Y(u, x)v \in e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(x)(\mathbb{C}((x)) \otimes V \otimes V) \tag{2.15}$$

for all $u, v \in V$.

In practice, the following technical result is very useful (cf. [Li5], Lemma 2.7):

Proposition 2.6. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra. Suppose that U is a subspace of V satisfying the conditions that $V = \langle U \rangle$ and that for any $u, v \in U$, there exist*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} v^{(j)} \otimes u^{(j)} \otimes f_j(x) \in U \otimes U \otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$$

and a nonnegative integer k such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^k \sum_{j=1}^{r} f_j(x_2 - x_1)Y(v^{(j)}, x_2)Y(u^{(j)}, x_1). \tag{2.16}$$

Then V is a weak quantum vertex algebra.

Proof. In view of Remark 2.5, we must prove that (2.15) holds for all $u, v \in V$. Let us introduce some technical notions. For a subspace A of V, we set

$$A^{(2)} = \mathrm{span}\{u_n v \mid u, v \in A,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset V.$$

We say that an ordered pair (A, B) of subspaces of V is $\mathcal{S}$-local if

$$Y(a, x)b \in e^{x\mathcal{D}} Y(x)(\mathbb{C}((x)) \otimes B \otimes A) \qquad \text{for } a \in A,\ b \in B.$$

For $F(x_1, x_2), G(x_1, x_2) \in V[[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}]]$, we define $F \sim G$ if

$$(x_1 - x_2)^p (x_1 + x_2)^q F = (x_1 - x_2)^p (x_1 + x_2)^q G$$

for some $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that the defined relation "$\sim$" is an equivalence relation.

We are going to prove that if an ordered pair (A, P) of subspaces of V is $\mathcal{S}$-local, then $(A, P^{(2)})$ and $(A^{(2)}, P)$ are $\mathcal{S}$-local. Then it follows from this and induction that $(\langle U\rangle, \langle U\rangle)$ is $\mathcal{S}$-local. Therefore, V is a weak quantum vertex algebra.

First, we prove that $(A, P^{(2)})$ is $\mathcal{S}$-local. Let $a \in A,\ u, v \in P$. There exist $f_i(x), g_{ij}(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x)),\ a^{(i)}, a^{(ij)} \in A,\ u^{(i)}, v^{(j)} \in P$ for $1 \le i \le r, 1 \le j \le s$, such that

$$Y(a, x_1)Y(u, x_2)v \sim \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2 - x_1) Y(u^{(i)}, x_2) Y(a^{(i)}, x_1)v,$$

$$Y(a^{(i)}, x)v = \sum_{j=1}^{s} g_{ij}(-x) e^{x\mathcal{D}} Y(v^{(j)}, -x)a^{(ij)},$$

and

$$Y(u^{(i)}, x_2 - x_1) Y(v^{(j)}, -x_1)a^{(ij)} \sim Y(Y(u^{(i)}, x_2)v^{(j)}, -x_1)a^{(ij)}. \tag{2.17}$$

Using the $\mathcal{D}$-bracket-derivative property (2.7) and weak associativity we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y(a, x_1)Y(u, x_2)v \\
\sim\ & \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2 - x_1) Y(u^{(i)}, x_2) Y(a^{(i)}, x_1)v \\
\sim\ & \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2 - x_1) Y(u^{(i)}, x_2) \sum_{j=1}^{s} g_{ij}(-x_1) e^{x_1\mathcal{D}} Y(v^{(j)}, -x_1)a^{(ij)} \\
\sim\ & \sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=1}^{s} f_i(x_2 - x_1) g_{ij}(-x_1) e^{x_1\mathcal{D}} Y(u^{(i)}, x_2 - x_1) Y(v^{(j)}, -x_1)a^{(ij)} \\
\sim\ & \sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=1}^{s} f_i(-x_1 + x_2) g_{ij}(-x_1) e^{x_1\mathcal{D}} Y(Y(u^{(i)}, x_2)v^{(j)}, -x_1)a^{(ij)}.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, there exists a nonnegative integer k such that

$$\begin{aligned}&(x_1^2-x_2^2)^k Y(a,x_1)Y(u,x_2)v\\ =\;&(x_1^2-x_2^2)^k\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=1}^{s} f_i(-x_1+x_2)g_{ij}(-x_1)e^{x_1\mathcal{D}}Y(Y(u^{(i)},x_2)v^{(j)},-x_1)a^{(ij)}.\end{aligned}$$

As both sides involve only finitely many negative powers of x_2, multiplying both sides by $(x_1-x_2)^{-k}(x_1+x_2)^{-k}$, we obtain

$$Y(a,x_1)Y(u,x_2)v=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=1}^{s} f_i(-x_1+x_2)g_{ij}(-x_1)e^{x_1\mathcal{D}}Y(Y(u^{(i)},x_2)v^{(j)},-x_1)a^{(ij)}.$$

It follows that $(A,P^{(2)})$ is $\mathcal{S}$-local.

Next, we prove that $(A^{(2)},P)$ is $\mathcal{S}$-local. Let $a,b\in A,\ w\in P$. There exist $f_i(x),g_{ij}(x)\in\mathbb{C}((x)),\ a^{(ij)},b^{(j)}\in A,\ w^{(i)},w^{(ij)}\in P$ for $1\le i\le r,\ 1\le j\le s$, such that

$$Y(b,x_2)w=\sum_i e^{x\mathcal{D}}f_i(-x)Y(w^{(i)},-x)b^{(i)},$$

$$Y(a,x_1)Y(w^{(i)},-x_2)b^{(i)}\sim\sum_{j=1}^{s} g_{ij}(-x_2-x_1)Y(w^{(ij)},-x_2)Y(a^{(ij)},x_1)b^{(i)}.$$

Using weak associativity we get

$$\begin{aligned}&Y(Y(a,x_1)b,x_2)w\\ \sim\;&Y(a,x_1+x_2)Y(b,x_2)w\\ \sim\;&Y(a,x_1+x_2)\sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(-x_2)e^{x_2\mathcal{D}}Y(w^{(i)},-x_2)b^{(i)}\\ \sim\;&\sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(-x_2)e^{x_2\mathcal{D}}Y(a,x_1)Y(w^{(i)},-x_2)b^{(i)}\\ \sim\;&\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=1}^{s} f_i(-x_2)g_{ij}(-x_2-x_1)e^{x_2\mathcal{D}}Y(w^{(ij)},-x_2)Y(a^{(ij)},x_1)b^{(i)}.\end{aligned}$$

By a similar reasoning we obtain

$$Y(Y(a,x_1)b,x_2)w=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{j=1}^{s} f_i(-x_2)g_{ij}(-x_2-x_1)e^{x_2\mathcal{D}}Y(w^{(ij)},-x_2)Y(a^{(ij)},x_1)b^{(i)}.$$

This proves that $(A^{(2)},P)$ is $\mathcal{S}$-local. Now, the proof is complete. $\square$

Next, we formulate a notion of twisted module for a general nonlocal vertex algebra. For two nonlocal vertex algebras V and K, a *homomorphism* of nonlocal vertex algebras from V to K is a linear map σ such that

$$\sigma(\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{1},\ \ \sigma(Y(u,x)v)=Y(\sigma(u),x)\sigma(v)\qquad\text{for } u,v\in V.$$

An *automorphism* of a nonlocal vertex algebra V is a bijective endomorphism of V and an automorphism of a weak quantum vertex algebra V is an automorphism of V viewed as a nonlocal vertex algebra.

Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra and let σ be an automorphism of V of *period* N in the sense that $\sigma^N = 1$. Then σ acts semisimply on V and

$$V = V^0 \oplus V^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V^{N-1},$$

where V^k is the eigenspace of σ with eigenvalue $\exp\left(\frac{2k\pi\sqrt{-1}}{N}\right)$. Set

$$\omega_N = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{N}\right),$$

the principal primitive N-th root of unity.

Definition 2.7. Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra and let σ be an automorphism of V of period N. A *σ-twisted V-module* is a vector space W equipped with a linear map

$$Y_W : V \to \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}}))) \subset (\mathrm{End}W)[[x^{1/N}, x^{-1/N}]],$$

satisfying the conditions that $Y_W(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1_W$ (the identity operator on W),

$$Y_W(\sigma v, x) = \lim_{x^{\frac{1}{N}} \to \omega_N^{-1} x^{\frac{1}{N}}} Y_W(v, x) \quad \text{for } v \in V, \tag{2.18}$$

and that for $u, v \in V$, there exists a nonnegative integer k such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y_W(u, x_1) Y_W(v, x_2) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{N}}))), \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$x_0^k Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2) = \left((x_1 - x_2)^k Y_W(u, x_1) Y_W(v, x_2)\right)|_{x_1^{\frac{1}{N}} = (x_2 + x_0)^{\frac{1}{N}}}. \tag{2.20}$$

If V is a weak quantum vertex algebra, we define a *σ-twisted V-module* to be a σ-twisted module for V viewed as a nonlocal vertex algebra.

We shall denote a twisted module W by a pair (W, Y_W) whenever it is necessary. Notice that the axiom (2.18) amounts to that

$$x^{\frac{r}{N}} Y_W(u, x) \in (\mathrm{End}W)[[x, x^{-1}]] \tag{2.21}$$

for $u \in V^r$, $0 \le r \le N - 1$.

Remark 2.8. We here explain certain substitutions including the one which has appeared in the right hand side of (2.20). First, recall the standard formal variable expansion convention

$$(x_2 \pm x_0)^\alpha = \sum_{i \ge 0} \binom{\alpha}{i} (\pm 1)^i x_2^{\alpha - i} x_0^i \in x_2^\alpha \mathbb{C}[x_2^{-1}][[x_0]]$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Then for $f(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{m,n\in k+\mathbb{N}} f(m,n)x_1^{\frac{m}{N}} x_2^{\frac{n}{N}} \in W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{N}}))$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1,x_2)|_{x_1^{1/N}=(x_2\pm x_0)^{1/N}} &= \sum_{m,n\in k+\mathbb{N}} f(m,n)(x_2 \pm x_0)^{\frac{m}{N}} x_2^{\frac{n}{N}} \\ &= \sum_{m,n\in k+\mathbb{N}} \sum_{i\geq 0} \binom{\frac{m}{N}}{i} (\pm 1)^i f(m,n) x_2^{\frac{m+n}{N}-i} x_0^i, \end{aligned}$$

which lies in $W((x_2^{\frac{1}{N}}))[[x_0]]$. Furthermore, we extend this substitution in the obvious way for $F(x_1, x_2) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{N}})))$, where

$$F(x_1,x_2)|_{x_1^{1/N}=(x_2+x_0)^{1/N}} \in (\mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_2^{\frac{1}{N}}))))[[x_0]].$$

One can show that this substitution gives rise to an injective map. That is, for $F(x_1, x_2), G(x_1, x_2) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{N}})))$, if

$$F(x_1,x_2)|_{x_1^{1/N}=(x_2+x_0)^{1/N}} = G(x_1,x_2)|_{x_1^{1/N}=(x_2+x_0)^{1/N}},$$

then $F(x_1, x_2) = G(x_1, x_2)$.

We shall need another substitution

$$H(x_1,x_2)|_{x_1^{1/N}=(x_0+x_2)^{1/N}} \in W((x_0^{\frac{1}{N}}))((x_2^{\frac{1}{N}})) \tag{2.22}$$

for $H(x_1, x_2) \in W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}))((x_2^{\frac{1}{N}}))$. One can show that

$$\left(F(x_1,x_2)|_{x_2^{1/N}=(x_1-x_0)^{1/N}}\right)|_{x_1^{1/N}=(x_2+x_0)^{1/N}} = F(x_1,x_2)|_{x_1^{1/N}=(x_2+x_0)^{1/N}} \tag{2.23}$$

for $F(x_1, x_2) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{N}})))$.

Note that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} \omega_N^{jn} = 0$ if $n \notin N\mathbb{Z}$ and $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} \omega_N^{jn} = 1$ if $n \in N\mathbb{Z}$. We have (see [FLM2])

$$x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2+x_0}{x_1}\right) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\omega_N^j \left(\frac{x_2+x_0}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\right). \tag{2.24}$$

Furthermore, for $f(x_1, x_2) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{N}})))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2+x_0}{x_1}\right) f(x_1,x_2) \\ = \; & \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\omega_N^j \left(\frac{x_2+x_0}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\right) f(x_1,x_2) \\ = \; & \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\omega_N^j \left(\frac{x_2+x_0}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\right) f(x_1,x_2)|_{x_1^{1/N}=\omega_N^j (x_2+x_0)^{1/N}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

For simplicity, sometimes we shall simply use $x_1 = x_2 + x_0$ for the substitution $x_1^{\frac{1}{N}} = (x_2 + x_0)^{\frac{1}{N}}$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let* V *be a nonlocal vertex algebra and let* σ *be an automorphism of period* N*. In the presence of the other axioms in the definition of a* σ*-twisted* V*-module, the axioms (2.19) and (2.20) can be equivalently replaced with the property that for* $u \in V^r,\ v \in V,\ w \in W$ *with* $0 \leq r \leq N-1$*, there exists* $l \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that*

$$(x_2 + x_0)^{l+\frac{r}{N}} Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)w = (x_0 + x_2)^{l+\frac{r}{N}} Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2)Y_W(v, x_2)w. \tag{2.26}$$

Proof. Assume that (W, Y_W) is a σ-twisted V-module. For $u \in V^r,\ v \in V,\ w \in W$ with $0 \leq r \leq N-1$, with (2.21) and (2.19), there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$x_1^{l+\frac{r}{N}} (x_1 - x_2)^k Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2)w \in W[[x_1]]((x_2^{\frac{1}{N}})).$$

Then using (2.20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(x_0 + x_2)^{l+\frac{r}{N}} x_0^k Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2)Y_W(v, x_2)w\\
&= \left(x_1^{l+\frac{r}{N}} (x_1 - x_2)^k Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2)w\right)|_{x_1=x_0+x_2}\\
&= \left(x_1^{l+\frac{r}{N}} (x_1 - x_2)^k Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2)w\right)|_{x_1=x_2+x_0}\\
&= (x_2 + x_0)^{l+\frac{r}{N}} \left((x_1 - x_2)^k Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2)w\right)|_{x_1=x_2+x_0}\\
&= (x_2 + x_0)^{l+\frac{r}{N}} x_0^k Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)w,
\end{aligned}$$

from which (2.26) follows. On the other hand, assume that (2.26) holds. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $x^k Y(u, x)v \in V[[x]]$. Then the left hand side of (2.26), after multiplied by x_0^k, involves only nonnegative integer powers of x_0. Consequently, we have

$$(x_0 + x_2)^{l+\frac{r}{N}} x_0^k Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2)Y_W(v, x_2)w \in W[[x_0]]((x_2^{\frac{1}{N}})).$$

Substituting $x_0 = x_1 - x_2$ we get

$$x_1^{l+\frac{r}{N}} (x_1 - x_2)^k Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2)w \in W[[x_1]]((x_2^{\frac{1}{N}})). \tag{2.27}$$

That is,

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2)w \in W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{N}})).$$

Noticing that k does not depend on w, we obtain

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{N}}))),$$

proving (2.19). Also, using (2.27) and (2.26) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(x_2 + x_0)^{l+\frac{r}{N}} x_0^k Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2)w\\
=\ &(x_0 + x_2)^{l+\frac{r}{N}} x_0^k Y_W(u, x_0 + x_2)Y_W(v, x_2)w\\
=\ &\left(x_1^{l+\frac{r}{N}} (x_1 - x_2)^k Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2)w\right)|_{x_1=x_0+x_2}\\
=\ &\left(x_1^{l+\frac{r}{N}} (x_1 - x_2)^k Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2)w\right)|_{x_1=x_2+x_0}\\
=\ &(x_2 + x_0)^{l+\frac{r}{N}} \left((x_1 - x_2)^k Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2)w\right)|_{x_1=x_2+x_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by $(x_2+x_0)^{-l-\frac{r}{N}}$ we obtain (2.20). $\square$

Using an argument similar to that in the proof of Proposition 2.6 in [Li3] (cf. [DLM]) we get:

Lemma 2.10. *Let (W, Y_W) be a σ-twisted module for a nonlocal vertex algebra V. Then*

$$Y_W(\mathcal{D}v, x) = \frac{d}{dx} Y_W(v, x) \quad \text{for } v \in V. \tag{2.28}$$

Furthermore, we have:

Lemma 2.11. *Let σ be an automorphism of a nonlocal vertex algebra V of period N and let (W, Y_W) be a σ-twisted V-module. Let*

$$a, b, a^{(1)}, b^{(1)}, \dots, a^{(r)}, b^{(r)} \in V; \ \ f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(x_1-x_2)^k Y_W(a, x_1) Y_W(b, x_2) \\
&= (x_1-x_2)^k \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2-x_1) Y_W(b^{(i)}, x_2) Y_W(a^{(i)}, x_1)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

holds for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_2}{x_0}\right) Y_W(a, x_1) Y_W(b, x_2) \\
& \quad -x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_1}{-x_0}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(-x_0) Y_W(b^{(i)}, x_2) Y_W(a^{(i)}, x_1) \\
= \ & \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\omega_N^{-j}\left(\frac{x_1-x_0}{x_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\right) Y_W(Y(\sigma^j a, x_0)b, x_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Proof. Clearly, (2.29) follows from (2.30). Now we prove that (2.29) also implies (2.30). Choose a positive integer k so large that (2.29) holds and that

$$(x_1-x_2)^k Y_W(\sigma^j a, x_1) Y_W(b, x_2) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{N}})))$$

for $0 \le j \le N-1$. Then

$$x_0^k Y_W(Y(\sigma^j a, x_0)b, x_2) = \big((x_1-x_2)^k Y_W(\sigma^j a, x_1) Y_W(b, x_2)\big)\,|_{x_1^{1/N}=(x_2+x_0)^{1/N}}$$

for $0 \le j \le N-1$. Since

$$Y_W(\sigma^j a, x_1) = \lim_{x_1^{1/N} \to \omega_N^{-j} x_1^{1/N}} Y_W(a, x_1)$$

(recall (2.21)), we have

$$x_0^k Y_W(Y(\sigma^j a,x_0)b,x_2)=\left((x_1-x_2)^k Y_W(a,x_1)Y_W(b,x_2)\right)|_{x_1^{1/N}=\omega_N^{-j}(x_2+x_0)^{1/N}}.$$

Then using (2.29) and Remark 2.8 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&x_0^k x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_2}{x_0}\right)Y_W(a,x_1)Y_W(b,x_2)\\
&\quad -x_0^k x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_1}{-x_0}\right)\sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(-x_0)Y_W(b^{(i)},x_2)Y_W(a^{(i)},x_1)\\
=\;& x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1-x_2}{x_0}\right)\left((x_1-x_2)^k Y_W(a,x_1)Y_W(b,x_2)\right)\\
&\quad -x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2-x_1}{-x_0}\right)\left((x_1-x_2)^k\sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2-x_1)Y_W(b^{(i)},x_2)Y_W(a^{(i)},x_1)\right)\\
=\;& x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2+x_0}{x_1}\right)\left((x_1-x_2)^k Y_W(a,x_1)Y_W(b,x_2)\right)\\
=\;& \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\omega_N^{-j}\left(\frac{x_2+x_0}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\right)\\
&\quad \cdot\left((x_1-x_2)^k Y_W(a,x_1)Y_W(b,x_2)\right)|_{x_1^{1/N}=\omega_N^{-j}(x_2+x_0)^{1/N}}\\
=\;& \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\omega_N^{-j}\left(\frac{x_2+x_0}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\right)\\
&\quad \cdot\left((x_1-x_2)^k Y_W(\sigma^j a,x_1)Y_W(b,x_2)\right)|_{x_1^{1/N}=(x_2+x_0)^{1/N}}\\
=\;& x_0^k\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\omega_N^{-j}\left(\frac{x_2+x_0}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\right)Y_W(Y(\sigma^j a,x_0)b,x_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by x_0^{-k}, we obtain (2.30). □

Proposition 2.12. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra with an automorphism σ of period N and let (W,Y_W) be a σ-twisted V-module, and let*

$$u,v,u^{(1)},v^{(1)},\dots,u^{(r)},v^{(r)}\in V,\ f_1(x),\dots,f_r(x)\in\mathbb{C}((x)).$$

If

$$(x_1-x_2)^k Y(u,x_1)Y(v,x_2)=(x_1-x_2)^k\sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2-x_1)Y(v^{(i)},x_2)Y(u^{(i)},x_1) \quad (2.31)$$

for some $k\in\mathbb{N}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(x_1-x_2)^p Y_W(u,x_1)Y_W(v,x_2)\\
=\;&(x_1-x_2)^p\sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2-x_1)Y_W(v^{(i)},x_2)Y_W(u^{(i)},x_1) \quad (2.32)
\end{aligned}$$

for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$. If (W, Y_W) is faithful, the converse is also true.

Proof. First, note that from Remark 2.5, (2.31) is equivalent to

$$Y(u, x_0)v = \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(-x_0)e^{x_0\mathcal{D}}Y(v^{(i)}, -x_0)u^{(i)}. \tag{2.33}$$

Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned}&(x_1 - x_2)^q Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_1^{1/N}, x_2^{1/N}))),\\ &(x_1 - x_2)^q Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_1^{1/N}, x_2^{1/N})))\end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \le i \le r$. Furthermore, let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $x^s f_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$ for $1 \le i \le r$. Then

$$x_0^q Y_W(Y(u, x_0)v, x_2) = ((x_1 - x_2)^q Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2))\,|_{x_1=x_2+x_0} \tag{2.34}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&x_0^{q+s} f_i(-x_0)Y_W(Y(v^{(i)}, -x_0)u^{(i)}, x_1)\\ =\ &((x_1 - x_2)^s f_i(x_2 - x_1))\,|_{x_2=x_1-x_0} \left((x_1 - x_2)^q Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1)\right)|_{x_2=x_1-x_0}\\ =\ &\left((x_1 - x_2)^{q+s} f_i(x_2 - x_1)Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1)\right)|_{x_2=x_1-x_0}\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

for $1 \le i \le r$. Then, using Lemma 2.10 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&x_0^{q+s} \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(-x_0)Y_W(e^{x_0\mathcal{D}}Y(v^{(i)}, -x_0)u^{(i)}, x_2)\\ =\ &x_0^{q+s} \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(-x_0)Y_W(Y(v^{(i)}, -x_0)u^{(i)}, x_2 + x_0)\\ =\ &\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r}(x_1 - x_2)^{q+s} f_i(x_2 - x_1)Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1)\right)|_{x_2=x_1-x_0}|_{x_1=x_2+x_0}\\ =\ &\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r}(x_1 - x_2)^{q+s} f_i(x_2 - x_1)Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1)\right)|_{x_1=x_2+x_0}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

If (2.33) holds, combining (2.34) with (2.36) we obtain (2.32) with $p = q + s$. On the other hand, if (W, Y_W) is faithful and if (2.32) holds, combining (2.34) with (2.36) we get (2.33). □

Furthermore, we have the following refinement of Proposition 2.12, which is a twisted analog of Proposition 6.7 of [Li4]:

Proposition 2.13. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra with an automorphism σ of period N and let (W, Y_W) be a σ-twisted V-module. Let*

$$n \in \mathbb{Z},\ u \in V^k, v, u^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, \ldots, u^{(r)}, v^{(r)},\ c^{(0)}, c^{(1)}, \ldots, c^{(s)} \in V,$$
$$f_1(x), \ldots, f_r(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x)).$$

If

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1 - x_2)^n Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2) - (-x_2 + x_1)^n \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2 - x_1)Y(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y(u^{(i)}, x_1)\\ = \sum_{j=0}^{s} Y(c^{(j)}, x_2)\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^j x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}&(x_1 - x_2)^n Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2)\\ &\quad -(-x_2 + x_1)^n \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2 - x_1)Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1)\\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{s} Y_W(c^{(j)}, x_2)\frac{1}{j!}\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^j x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)^{\frac{k}{N}}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

If (W, Y_W) is faithful, the converse is also true.

Proof. From the first part of the proof of Proposition 6.7 of [Li4], we see that (2.37) is equivalent to

$$Y(u, x)v = \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(-x)e^{x\mathcal{D}}Y(v^{(i)}, -x)u^{(i)}, \tag{2.39}$$

$$u_{n+j}v = c^{(j)} \quad \text{for } 0 \le j \le s \quad \text{and} \quad u_{n+j}v = 0 \quad \text{for } j > s. \tag{2.40}$$

Assume that (2.37) holds. Then (2.39) and (2.40) hold. By Proposition 2.12, (2.32) holds. Furthermore, using Lemma 2.11 we get

$$\begin{aligned}&(x_1 - x_2)^n Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2)\\ &\quad -(-x_2 + x_1)^n \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2 - x_1)Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1)\\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{s} Y_W(u_{j+n}v, x_2)\frac{1}{j!}\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\right)^j x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right)^{\frac{k}{N}}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

Then using (2.40) we obtain (2.38). On the other hand, assume that (W, Y_W) is faithful and that (2.38) holds. It follows that (2.32) holds. From Lemma 2.11, we see that (2.41) holds. With W faithful, combining (2.41) with (2.38), we obtain (2.40). Also, by Proposition 2.12, we have (2.31), which implies (2.39). Now, (2.37) follows. □

As an immediate consequence we have:

Corollary 2.14. *Let V be a nonlocal vertex algebra with an automorphism σ of period N and let (W, Y_W) be a σ-twisted V-module. Suppose that $\omega \in V^0$ (i.e., $\sigma(\omega) = \omega$) is a conformal vector in the sense that*

$$[L(m), L(n)] = (m-n)L(m+n) + \frac{1}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0}c$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $L(-1) = \mathcal{D}$, where $Y(\omega, x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L(n)x^{-n-2}$ and c is a complex number. Then W is a module for the Virasoro algebra with $L(m)$ acting as $L_W(m)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with the same central charge c, where $Y_W(\omega, x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L_W(n)x^{-n-2}$.

Combining Lemma 2.11 with Proposition 2.12 we immediately have:

Corollary 2.15. *Let V be a weak quantum vertex algebra, let σ be an automorphism of period N of V, and let (W, Y_W) be a σ-twisted module for V viewed as a nonlocal vertex algebra. Let*

$$u, v, u^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(r)}, v^{(r)} \in V,\ f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x) \in \mathbb{C}((x)).$$

If

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k Y(u, x_1)Y(v, x_2) = (x_1 - x_2)^k \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(x_2 - x_1)Y(v^{(i)}, x_2)Y(u^{(i)}, x_1)$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) Y_W(a, x_1)Y_W(b, x_2) \\ &\qquad - x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(-x_0)Y_W(b^{(i)}, x_2)Y_W(a^{(i)}, x_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\omega_N^{-j}\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\right) Y_W(Y(\sigma^j a, x_0)b, x_2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

Remark 2.16. Let V be a vertex algebra and let σ be an automorphism of V of period N. Recall (see [FLM2], [FFR], [D]) that a *σ-twisted V-module* is a vector space W equipped with a linear map $Y_W : V \to \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}})))$, satisfying the conditions that $Y_W(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1_W$,

$$x^{\frac{j}{N}} Y_W(u, x) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x))) \quad \text{for } u \in V^j,\ 0 \le j \le N-1, \tag{2.43}$$

and that for $u, v \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned} &x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) Y_W(u, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2) - x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0}\right) Y_W(v, x_2)Y_W(u, x_1) \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\omega_N^{-j}\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\right) Y_W(Y(\sigma^j u, x_0)v, x_2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

In view of Corollary 2.15, a σ-twisted module for V viewed as a vertex algebra is the same as a σ-twisted module for V viewed as a nonlocal vertex algebra.

Example 2.17. Let W be a vector space and let N be a positive integer. Set $V = (\mathrm{End}W)((x^{\frac{1}{N}}))$. The space V is naturally an associative algebra with identity 1 and the formal differential operator $\frac{d}{dx}$ is a derivation of V. Then V is a nonlocal vertex algebra with 1 as the vacuum vector and with

$$Y(a(x), x_0)b(x) = \left(e^{x_0\frac{d}{dx}}a(x)\right)b(x) = a(x+x_0)b(x) \quad \text{ for } a(x), b(x) \in V.$$

Define a linear map $\theta : V \to V$ by

$$\theta(a(x)) = \lim_{x^{\frac{1}{N}} \to \omega_N^{-1} x^{\frac{1}{N}}} a(x) \qquad \text{for } a(x) \in V.$$

It is clear that θ is an order-N automorphism of V viewed as an associative algebra. It follows that θ is an order-N automorphism of V viewed as a nonlocal vertex algebra. Furthermore, W is a θ-twisted V-module with $Y_W(a(x), z) = a(z)$ for $a(x) \in V$. Indeed, for $a(x), b(x) \in V$, we have

$$a(x_1)b(x_2) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{N}}))),$$

so that

$$Y_W(a(x), x_1)Y_W(b(x), x_2) = a(x_1)b(x_2) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{N}}))),$$

$$Y_W(Y(a(x), x_0)b(x), x_2) = (Y(a(x), x_0)b(x))\,|_{x=x_2} = (a(x_1)b(x_2))\,|_{x_1=x_2+x_0}.$$

3 General construction of twisted modules

In this section we shall give a conceptual construction of twisted modules for weak quantum vertex algebras, generalizing the construction in [Li2] of twisted modules for vertex superalgebras and the construction in [Li4] of weak quantum vertex algebras.

Let W be a general vector space and let N be a positive integer, both of which are fixed throughout this section. Set

$$\mathbb{Z}_N = \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z},$$

a cyclic abelian group of order N, and for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $\bar{j} = j + N\mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{Z}_N$. Consider the vector space $(\mathrm{End}W)[[x^{\frac{1}{N}}, x^{-\frac{1}{N}}]]$, which is naturally $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded with

$$(\mathrm{End}W)[[x^{\frac{1}{N}}, x^{-\frac{1}{N}}]] = \bigoplus_{\bar{j}\in\mathbb{Z}_N} x^{\frac{j}{N}}(\mathrm{End}W)[[x, x^{-1}]].$$

We define an order-N linear automorphism θ of $(\mathrm{End}W)[[x^{\frac{1}{N}}, x^{-\frac{1}{N}}]]$ by

$$\theta f(x) = \omega_N^{-j} f(x) \quad \text{for } f(x) \in x^{\frac{j}{N}} (\mathrm{End}W)[[x, x^{-1}]] \text{ with } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For a general (not necessarily homogeneous) $f(x)$, we have

$$\theta(f(x)) = \lim_{x^{\frac{1}{N}} \to \omega_N^{-1} x^{\frac{1}{N}}} f(x) \quad \text{for } f(x) \in (\mathrm{End}W)[[x^{\frac{1}{N}}, x^{-\frac{1}{N}}]].$$

Set

$$\mathcal{E}(W, N) = \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}}))), \tag{3.1}$$

a subspace of $(\mathrm{End}W)[[x^{\frac{1}{N}}, x^{-\frac{1}{N}}]]$, recalling that

$$\mathcal{E}(W) = \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x))) \subset (\mathrm{End}W)[[x, x^{-1}]].$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{E}(W, N)$ is a graded subspace of $(\mathrm{End}W)[[x^{\frac{1}{N}}, x^{-\frac{1}{N}}]]$ with

$$\mathcal{E}(W, N) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{N-1} x^{-\frac{j}{N}} \mathcal{E}(W), \tag{3.2}$$

so that θ is also an order-N linear automorphism of $\mathcal{E}(W, N)$. For $0 \leq j \leq N-1$, set

$$\mathcal{E}(W, N)^j = x^{-\frac{j}{N}} \mathcal{E}(W) = \{f(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W, N) \mid \theta(f(x)) = \omega_N^j f(x)\}.$$

Definition 3.1. An (ordered) finite sequence $(a_1(x), \ldots, a_r(x))$ in $\mathcal{E}(W, N)$ is said to be *compatible* if there exists a nonnegative integer k such that

$$\left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (x_i - x_j)^k \right) a_1(x_1) \cdots a_r(x_r) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, \ldots, x_r^{\frac{1}{N}}))). \tag{3.3}$$

A subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W, N)$ is said to be *compatible* if every finite sequence in U is compatible.

In particular, an ordered pair $(a(x), b(x))$ in $\mathcal{E}(W, N)$ is compatible if and only if there exists a nonnegative integer k such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k a(x_1) b(x_2) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{N}}))). \tag{3.4}$$

Definition 3.2. Let $(a(x), b(x))$ be a compatible (ordered) pair in $\mathcal{E}(W, N)$. Define $a(x)_n b(x) \in (\mathrm{End}W)[[x^{\frac{1}{N}}, x^{-\frac{1}{N}}]]$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ in terms of the generating function

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0) b(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a(x)_n b(x)) x_0^{-n-1} \tag{3.5}$$

by

$$Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0) b(x) = x_0^{-k} ((x_1 - x)^k a(x_1) b(x))|_{x_1^{\frac{1}{N}} = (x + x_0)^{\frac{1}{N}}}, \tag{3.6}$$

where k is any nonnegative integer such that (3.4) holds.

Just as before, for convenience we simply use $x_1 = x + x_0$ for the substitution $x_1^{\frac{1}{N}} = (x + x_0)^{\frac{1}{N}}$. The following two lemmas are straightforward consequences:

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $(a(x), b(x))$ *be a compatible pair in* $\mathcal{E}(W, N)$. *Then*

$$a(x)_n b(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W, N) \ (= \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x^{\frac{1}{N}})))) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Furthermore, if k *is a nonnegative integer such that*

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k a(x_1) b(x_2) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{N}}))),$$

then

$$a(x)_n b(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq k.$$

Lemma 3.4. *For any* $a(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W, N)$, *the sequences* $(1_W, a(x))$ *and* $(a(x), 1_W)$ *are compatible and we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &Y_{\mathcal{E}}(1_W, x_0) a(x) = a(x), \\ &Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x), x_0) 1_W = a(x + x_0) = e^{x_0 \frac{d}{dx}} a(x). \end{aligned}$$

We shall need the following technical result:

Lemma 3.5. *Let* $(a_i(x), b_i(x))$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ *be compatible pairs in* $\mathcal{E}(W, N)$. *Suppose that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (z - x)^{k_i} a_i(z) b_i(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((z^{\frac{1}{N}}, x^{\frac{1}{N}}))) \tag{3.7}$$

for some nonnegative integers k_i. *Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_0^{k_i} Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a_i(x), x_0) b_i(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (z - x)^{k_i} a_i(z) b_i(x) \right) |_{z = x + x_0}. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Let k be a nonnegative integer such that

$$(z - x)^k a_i(z) b_i(x) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((z^{\frac{1}{N}}, x^{\frac{1}{N}}))) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

From Definition 3.2, we have

$$x_0^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a_i(x), x_0) b_i(x) = \left((z - x)^k a_i(z) b_i(x) \right) |_{z = x + x_0}$$

for $1\le i\le n$. Then using (3.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_0^k\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_0^{k_i} Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a_i(x),x_0)b_i(x)\\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_0^{k_i}\left((z-x)^k a_i(z)b_i(x)\right)|_{z=x+x_0}\\
= & \left((z-x)^k\sum_{i=1}^{n}(z-x)^{k_i}a_i(z)b_i(x)\right)|_{z=x+x_0}\\
= & x_0^k\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(z-x)^{k_i}a_i(z)b_i(x)\right)|_{z=x+x_0}, \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.8) immediately. $\square$

Let U be a subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W)$ such that every ordered pair in U is compatible. We say U is *closed* if

$$a(x)_n b(x)\in U \quad \text{for } a(x),b(x)\in U,\ n\in\mathbb{Z}. \qquad (3.10)$$

We are going to prove that any closed compatible subspace containing 1_W of $\mathcal{E}(W,N)$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra. To achieve this goal we first prove:

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that V is a subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W,N)$ such that any sequence in V of length 2 or 3 is compatible and such that V is closed. Let $\psi(x),\phi(x),\theta(x)\in V$ and let k be a nonnegative integer such that*

$$(x-y)^k\phi(x)\theta(y)\in \mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x^{\frac{1}{N}},y^{\frac{1}{N}}))), \qquad (3.11)$$
$$(x-y)^k(x-z)^k(y-z)^k\psi(x)\phi(y)\theta(z)\in \mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x^{\frac{1}{N}},y^{\frac{1}{N}},z^{\frac{1}{N}}))). \qquad (3.12)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_1^k x_2^k(x_1-x_2)^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x),x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x)\\
= & ((y-x)^k(z-x)^k(y-z)^k\psi(y)\phi(z)\theta(x))|_{y=x+x_1,z=x+x_2}. \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. With (3.11), from Definition 3.2 we have

$$x_2^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x)=((z-x)^k\phi(z)\theta(x))|_{z=x+x_2}, \qquad (3.14)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& (y-x)^k(y-x-x_2)^k x_2^k\psi(y)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x)\\
= & ((y-x)^k(y-z)^k(z-x)^k\psi(y)\phi(z)\theta(x))|_{z=x+x_2}. \qquad (3.15)
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.12) we see that the expression on the right-hand side of (3.15) lies in

$$(\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((y^{\frac{1}{N}},x^{\frac{1}{N}})))[[x_2]],$$

so does the expression on the left-hand side. That is,

$$(y-x)^k(y-x-x_2)^k x_2^k \psi(y)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x) \in (\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((y^{\frac{1}{N}},x^{\frac{1}{N}}))))[[x_2]].$$

In view of Lemma 3.5, by considering the coefficient of each power of x_2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1^k(x_1-x_2)^k x_2^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x),x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x) \\ = \ & ((y-x)^k(y-x-x_2)^k x_2^k \psi(y)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x))|_{y=x+x_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Using this and (3.14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & x_2^k x_1^k (x_1-x_2)^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x),x_1)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x) \\ = \ & x_2^k(y-x)^k(y-x-x_2)^k \psi(y)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x))|_{y=x+x_1} \\ = \ & ((z-x)^k(y-x)^k(y-z)^k\psi(y)\phi(z)\theta(x))|_{y=x+x_1,z=x+x_2}, \end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof. □

For any formal series $a(x)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_n x^{-n-1}$ (with coefficients a_n in any vector space) and for any $m\in\mathbb{Z}$, we set

$$a(x)_{\geq m} = \sum_{n\geq m} a_n x^{-n-1}. \tag{3.17}$$

Then for any polynomial $q(x)$ we have

$$\mathrm{Res}_x x^m q(x)a(x) = \mathrm{Res}_x x^m q(x) a(x)_{\geq m}. \tag{3.18}$$

Now we are in a position to present our first key result:

Theorem 3.7. *Let V be a $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W,N)$ such that any sequence in V of length 2 or 3 is compatible and such that V contains 1_W and is closed. Then $(V, Y_{\mathcal{E}}, 1_W)$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra with θ as an automorphism of period N, and W is a faithful θ-twisted V-module with $Y_W(a(x),x_0)=a(x_0)$ for $a(x)\in V$.*

Proof. For the assertion on the nonlocal vertex algebra structure, with Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, it remains to prove weak associativity, i.e., for $\psi(x),\phi(x),\theta(x)\in V$, there exists a nonnegative integer k such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_0+x_2)^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x),x_0+x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x) \\ = \ & (x_0+x_2)^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x),x_0)\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let k be a nonnegative integer such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (x-y)^k\psi(x)\phi(y) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x^{\frac{1}{N}},y^{\frac{1}{N}}))), \\ & (x-y)^k\phi(x)\theta(y) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x^{\frac{1}{N}},y^{\frac{1}{N}}))), \\ & (x-y)^k(x-z)^k(y-z)^k\psi(x)\phi(y)\theta(z) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x^{\frac{1}{N}},y^{\frac{1}{N}},z^{\frac{1}{N}}))). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&x_2^k(x_0+x_2)^k x_0^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x),x_0+x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x)\\ =\ &((z-x)^k(y-x)^k(y-z)^k\psi(y)\phi(z)\theta(x))|_{y=x+x_0+x_2,z=x+x_2}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

On the other hand, let $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ be *arbitrarily fixed*. Since $\psi(x)_m\phi(x)=0$ for m sufficiently large, there exists a nonnegative integer l, depending on n, such that

$$x_2^l(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x)_m\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x)=((z-x)^l(\psi(z)_m\phi(z))\theta(x))|_{z=x+x_2}\tag{3.20}$$

for *all* $m\ge n$. With $(x-y)^k\psi(x)\phi(y)\in\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x^{\frac{1}{N}},y^{\frac{1}{N}})))$, from Definition 3.2 we have

$$x_0^k(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x_2),x_0)\phi(x_2))\theta(x)=((y-x_2)^k\psi(y)\phi(x_2)\theta(x))|_{y=x_2+x_0}.\tag{3.21}$$

Using (3.18), (3.20) and (3.21) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^n(x_0+x_2)^k x_0^k x_2^l Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x),x_0)\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x)\\ =\ &\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^n(x_0+x_2)^k x_0^k x_2^l Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x),x_0)_{\ge n}\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x)\\ =\ &\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^n(x_0+x_2)^k x_0^k((z-x)^l(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(z),x_0)_{\ge n}\phi(z))\theta(x))|_{z=x+x_2}\\ =\ &\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^n(x_0+x_2)^k x_0^k((z-x)^l Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(z),x_0)\phi(z))\theta(x))|_{z=x+x_2}\\ =\ &\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^n((z+x_0-x)^k x_0^k(z-x)^l(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(z),x_0)\phi(z))\theta(x))|_{z=x+x_2}\\ =\ &\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^n((y-x)^k(y-z)^k(z-x)^l\psi(y)\phi(z)\theta(x))|_{y=z+x_0,z=x+x_2}\\ =\ &\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^n((y-x)^k(y-z)^k(z-x)^l\psi(y)\phi(z)\theta(x))|_{y=x+x_2+x_0,z=x+x_2}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.22}$$

Combining (3.22) with (3.19) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^n x_2^k(x_0+x_2)^k x_0^k x_2^l Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x),x_0+x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x)\\ =\ &\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^n x_2^k(x_0+x_2)^k x_0^k x_2^l Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x),x_0)\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x).\end{aligned}\tag{3.23}$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^{n+k}(x_0+x_2)^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x),x_0+x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x)\\ =\ &\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^{n+k}(x_0+x_2)^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x),x_0)\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x).\end{aligned}$$

Since n is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&(x_0+x_2)^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x),x_0+x_2)Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x)\\ =\ &(x_0+x_2)^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi(x),x_0)\phi(x),x_2)\theta(x),\end{aligned}$$

as desired. Thus $(V,Y_{\mathcal{E}},1_W)$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra.

To show that θ is an automorphism of V, first we see that $\theta(1_W)=1_W$. Next, for $a(x),b(x)\in V$, there exists $k\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&(x_1-x_2)^k a(x_1)b(x_2)\in\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}},x_2^{\frac{1}{N}}))),\\ &(x_1-x_2)^k\theta(a(x_1))\theta(b(x_2))\in\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}},x_2^{\frac{1}{N}}))).\end{aligned}$$

From Definition 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&x_0^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x)=\big((x_1-x)^k a(x_1)b(x)\big)\,|_{x_1^{1/N}=(x+x_0)^{1/N}},\\
&x_0^k Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\theta(a(x)),x_0)\theta(b(x))=\big((x_1-x)^k \theta(a(x_1))\theta(b(x))\big)\,|_{x_1^{1/N}=(x+x_0)^{1/N}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&x_0^k\theta\left(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x)\right)\\
=\ &\lim_{x^{1/N}\to\omega_N^{-1}x^{1/N}}\big(x_0^kY_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x)\big)\\
=\ &\lim_{x^{1/N}\to\omega_N^{-1}x^{1/N}}\Big(\big((x_1-x)^k a(x_1)b(x)\big)\,|_{x_1^{1/N}=(x+x_0)^{1/N}}\Big)\\
=\ &\big((x_1-x)^k\theta(a(x_1))\theta(b(x))\big)\,|_{x_1^{1/N}=(x+x_0)^{1/N}}\\
=\ &x_0^kY_{\mathcal{E}}(\theta(a(x)),x_0)\theta(b(x)),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\theta\left(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x)\right)=Y_{\mathcal{E}}(\theta(a(x)),x_0)\theta(b(x)).$$

Thus θ is an automorphism of V.

By definition we have $Y_W(1_W,x)=1_W$ and

$$Y_W(\theta(a(x)),z)=\lim_{z^{1/N}\to\omega_N^{-1}z^{1/N}}a(z)=\lim_{z^{1/N}\to\omega_N^{-1}z^{1/N}}Y_W(a(x),z)$$

for $a(x)\in V$. Furthermore, for $a(x),b(x)\in V$, there exists a nonnegative integer k such that

$$(x_1-x_2)^k a(x_1)b(x_2)\in \mathrm{Hom}(W,W((x_1^{1/N},x_2^{1/N})))$$

and

$$x_0^kY_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x)=\big((x_1-x)^k a(x_1)b(x)\big)\,|_{x_1^{1/N}=(x+x_0)^{1/N}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&x_0^kY_W(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x),x_2)\\
=\ &x_0^k\left(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x),x_0)b(x)\right)|_{x=x_2}\\
=\ &\big((x_1-x_2)^k a(x_1)b(x_2)\big)\,|_{x_1^{1/N}=(x_2+x_0)^{1/N}}\\
=\ &\big((x_1-x_2)^kY_W(a(x),x_1)Y_W(b(x),x_2)\big)\,|_{x_1^{1/N}=(x_2+x_0)^{1/N}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that W is a θ-twisted V-module with $Y_W(a(x),x_0)=a(x_0)$ for $a(x)\in V$. It is clear that W is faithful. $\square$

Next we are going to prove that any compatible subset of $\mathcal{E}(W,N)$ generates a nonlocal vertex algebra. The following is a key result:

Proposition 3.8. *Let* $\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_r(x), a(x), b(x), \phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_s(x) \in \mathcal{E}(W, N)$. *Assume that the ordered sequences* $(a(x), b(x))$ *and*

$$(\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_r(x), a(x), b(x), \phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_s(x))$$

are compatible. Then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, *the ordered sequence*

$$(\psi_1(x), \dots, \psi_r(x), a(x)_n b(x), \phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_s(x))$$

is compatible.

Proof. Let k be a nonnegative integer such that

$$(x_1 - x_2)^k a(x_1) b(x_2) \in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{N}})))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le r} (y_i - y_j)^k\right)\left(\prod_{1 \le i \le r, 1 \le j \le s} (y_i - z_j)^k\right)\left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le s} (z_i - z_j)^k\right) \\
&\cdot (x_1 - x_2)^k \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} (x_1 - y_i)^k (x_2 - y_i)^k\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} (x_1 - z_i)^k (x_2 - z_i)^k\right) \\
&\cdot \psi_1(y_1) \cdots \psi_r(y_r) a(x_1) b(x_2) \phi_1(z_1) \cdots \phi_s(z_s) \\
&\in \mathrm{Hom}(W, W((y_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, \dots, y_r^{\frac{1}{N}}, x_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, x_2^{\frac{1}{N}}, z_1^{\frac{1}{N}}, \dots, z_s^{\frac{1}{N}}))).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Set

$$P = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le r} (y_i - y_j)^k, \quad Q = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le s} (z_i - z_j)^k, \quad R = \prod_{1 \le i \le r,\, 1 \le j \le s} (y_i - z_j)^k.$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be *arbitrarily fixed*. There exists a nonnegative integer l such that

$$x_0^{l+n-k} \in \mathbb{C}[[x_0]]. \tag{3.25}$$

Using (3.25) and Definition 3.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{i=1}^{r}(x_2-y_i)^{kl}\prod_{j=1}^{s}(x_2-z_j)^{kl}\cdot\psi_1(y_1)\cdots\psi_r(y_r)(a(x_2)_n b(x_2))\phi_1(z_1)\cdots\phi_s(z_s)\\
={}&\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^{n}\prod_{i=1}^{r}(x_2-y_i)^{kl}\prod_{j=1}^{s}(x_2-z_j)^{kl}\cdot\\
&\quad\cdot\psi_1(y_1)\cdots\psi_r(y_r)(Y_{\mathcal{E}}(a(x_2),x_0)b(x_2))\phi_1(z_1)\cdots\phi_s(z_s)\\
={}&\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^{n}\prod_{i=1}^{r}(x_2-y_i)^{kl}\prod_{j=1}^{s}(x_2-z_j)^{kl}\\
&\cdot(x_0^{-k}(x_1-x_2)^{k}\psi_1(y_1)\cdots\psi_r(y_r)a(x_1)b(x_2)\phi_1(z_1)\cdots\phi_s(z_s))|_{x_1=x_2+x_0}\\
={}&\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^{n}(\prod_{i=1}^{r}(x_1-x_0-y_i)^{kl}\prod_{j=1}^{s}(x_1-x_0-z_j)^{kl}\\
&\cdot x_0^{-k}(x_1-x_2)^{k}\psi_1(y_1)\cdots\psi_r(y_r)a(x_1)b(x_2)\phi_1(z_1)\cdots\phi_s(z_s))|_{x_1=x_2+x_0}\\
={}&\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}x_0^{n}(e^{-x_0\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r}(x_1-y_i)^{k}\prod_{j=1}^{s}(x_1-z_j)^{k}\right)^{l}\\
&\cdot x_0^{-k}(x_1-x_2)^{k}\psi_1(y_1)\cdots\psi_r(y_r)a(x_1)b(x_2)\phi_1(z_1)\cdots\phi_s(z_s))|_{x_1=x_2+x_0}\\
={}&\mathrm{Res}_{x_0}(\sum_{t=0}^{l-1}\frac{(-1)^t}{t!}x_0^{n+t}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{t}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r}(x_1-y_i)^{k}\prod_{j=1}^{s}(x_1-z_j)^{k}\right)^{l}\\
&\cdot x_0^{-k}(x_1-x_2)^{k}\psi_1(y_1)\cdots\psi_r(y_r)a(x_1)b(x_2)\phi_1(z_1)\cdots\phi_s(z_s))|_{x_1=x_2+x_0}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.26}$$

Notice that for any polynomial B and for $0\leq t\leq l-1$, $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{t}B^{l}$ is a multiple of B. Using (3.24) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&PQR\prod_{i=1}^{r}(x_2-y_i)^{k}\prod_{j=1}^{s}(x_2-z_j)^{k}(\sum_{t=0}^{l-1}\frac{(-1)^t}{t!}x_0^{n+t-k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{t}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r}(x_1-y_i)^{k}\prod_{j=1}^{s}(x_1-z_j)^{k}\right)^{l}\\
&\cdot(x_1-x_2)^{k}\psi_1(y_1)\cdots\psi_r(y_r)a(x_1)b(x_2)\phi_1(z_1)\cdots\phi_s(z_s))|_{x_1=x_2+x_0}\\
\in{}&(\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((y_1^{\frac{1}{N}},\dots,y_r^{\frac{1}{N}},x_2^{\frac{1}{N}},z_1^{\frac{1}{N}},\dots,z_s^{\frac{1}{N}})))((x_0)).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&PQR\prod_{i=1}^{r}(x_2-y_i)^{k(l+1)}\\
&\cdot\prod_{j=1}^{s}(x_2-z_j)^{k(l+1)}\psi_1(y_1)\cdots\psi_r(y_r)(a(x_2)_n b(x_2))\phi_1(z_1)\cdots\phi_s(z_s)\\
\in{}&\mathrm{Hom}(W,W((y_1^{\frac{1}{N}},\dots,y_r^{\frac{1}{N}},x_2^{\frac{1}{N}},z_1^{\frac{1}{N}},\dots,z_s^{\frac{1}{N}}))).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.27}$$

This proves that the sequence $(\psi_1(x),\dots,\psi_r(x),a(x)_n b(x),\phi_1(x),\dots,\phi_s(x))$ is compatible. $\square$

Now we have:

Theorem 3.9. *Let V be a maximal $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded compatible subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W,N)$. Then V contains 1_W and is closed. Furthermore, $(V,Y_{\mathcal{E}},1_W)$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra with θ as an automorphism of period N and W is a θ-twisted V-module with $Y_W(a(x),x_0)=a(x_0)$ for $a(x)\in V$.*

Proof. Clearly, the linear span of V and 1_W is still $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded and compatible. With V being maximal we must have $1_W\in V$. Let $a(x),b(x)\in V$ and $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. In view of Proposition 3.8, any (ordered) sequence in $V\cup\{a(x)_n b(x)\}$ with one appearance of $a(x)_n b(x)$ is compatible. By induction on the number of appearance of $a(x)_n b(x)$, using Proposition 3.8 again, we see that any (ordered) sequence in $V\cup\{a(x)_n b(x)\}$ with any (finite) number of appearance of $a(x)_n b(x)$ is compatible. Thus $V+\mathbb{C}a(x)_n b(x)$ is compatible. Since V is maximal, we must have $V+\mathbb{C}a(x)_n b(x)=V$, which implies that $a(x)_n b(x)\in V$. This proves that V is closed. By Theorem 3.7 $(V,Y_{\mathcal{E}},1_W)$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra with with θ as an automorphism of period N and W is a θ-twisted V-module. $\square$

Furthermore, we have (cf. [Li3], [Li4]):

Theorem 3.10. *Let U be any compatible subset of $\mathcal{E}(W,N)$, which linearly spans a graded subspace. There exists a unique smallest closed $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded compatible subspace denoted by $\langle U\rangle$ which contains U and 1_W. Furthermore, $(\langle U\rangle,Y_{\mathcal{E}},1_W)$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra with θ as an automorphism of period N and W is a θ-twisted module with $Y_W(a(x),x_0)=a(x_0)$ for $a(x)\in\langle U\rangle$.*

Proof. From assumption, U and 1_W linearly span a $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded compatible subspace of $\mathcal{E}(W,N)$. In view of Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded compatible subspace V of $\mathcal{E}(W,N)$, containing U and 1_W. By Theorem 3.9, V is closed, $(V,Y_{\mathcal{E}},1_W)$ carries the structure of a nonlocal vertex algebra with θ as an automorphism of period N, and W is a θ-twisted V-module. Then the nonlocal vertex subalgebra $\langle U\rangle$ of V, generated by U, is the unique smallest closed $\mathbb{Z}_N$-graded compatible subspace that contains U and 1_W. The rest is clear. $\square$

Next, we are going to show that compatible subsets of a certain type generate weak quantum vertex algebras.

Definition 3.11. A subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W,N)$ is said to be *$\mathcal{S}$-local* if for any $a(x),b(x)\in U$, there exist $f_i(x)\in\mathbb{C}((x))$, $a_i(x),b_i(x)\in U$ for $i=1,\dots,r$ (finite), and a nonnegative integer k such that

$$(x_1-x_2)^k a(x_1)b(x_2)=(x_1-x_2)^k\sum_{i=1}^{r}f_i(x_2-x_1)a_i(x_2)b_i(x_1). \qquad (3.28)$$

Lemma 3.12. *Every $\mathcal{S}$-local subset U of $\mathcal{E}(W,N)$ is compatible.*

Proof. It follows from the same proof of Lemma 3.2 in [Li4]. $\square$

The following is the main result of this section:

Theorem 3.13. *Let U be any $\mathcal{S}$-local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W,N)$, which linearly spans a graded subspace. Then the nonlocal vertex algebra $\langle U\rangle$ generated by U is a weak quantum vertex algebra on which θ acts as an automorphism of period N, and W is a faithful θ-twisted $\langle U\rangle$-module with $Y_W(a(x),x_0)=a(x_0)$ for $a(x)\in\langle U\rangle$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.12 and Theorem 3.10, $\langle U\rangle$ is a nonlocal vertex algebra with an automorphism θ of period N, and W is a faithful θ-twisted $\langle U\rangle$-module with $Y_W(a(x),x_0)=a(x_0)$ for $a(x)\in\langle U\rangle$. As U is $\mathcal{S}$-local, it follows from Propositions 2.12 and 2.6 that $\langle U\rangle$ is a weak quantum vertex algebra. $\square$

4 Twisted modules for quantum vertex algebra $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$

In this section we shall use the general construction we have established in Section 3 to construct twisted modules for quantum vertex algebras $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ which were constructed in [KL].

First we recall the quantum vertex algebra $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ from [KL]. Let r be a positive integer and let $\mathbf{Q}=(q_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{r}$ be a square matrix of complex numbers such that

$$q_{ij}q_{ji}=1 \ \text{ for } 1\le i,j\le r.$$

Define $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ to be the associative algebra (over $\mathbb{C}$) with identity, with generators

$$X_{i,n},Y_{i,n} \ \text{ for } 1\le i\le r,\ n\in\mathbb{Z},$$

subject to relations

$$\begin{aligned} &X_{i,m}X_{j,n}=q_{ij}X_{j,n}X_{i,m}, \quad Y_{i,m}Y_{j,n}=q_{ij}Y_{j,n}Y_{i,m},\\ &X_{i,m}Y_{j,n}-q_{ji}Y_{j,n}X_{i,m}=\delta_{i,j}\delta_{m+n+1,0} \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

for $1\le i,j\le r,\ m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$. For $1\le i\le r$, form the generating functions

$$X_i(z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}X_{i,n}z^{-n-1}, \quad Y_i(z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}Y_{i,n}z^{-n-1}\in\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}[[z,z^{-1}]].$$

The defining relations in (4.1) now read as

$$\begin{aligned} &X_i(z_1)X_j(z_2)=q_{ij}X_j(z_2)X_i(z_1), \quad Y_i(z_1)Y_j(z_2)=q_{ij}Y_j(z_2)Y_i(z_1),\\ &X_i(z_1)Y_j(z_2)-q_{ji}Y_j(z_2)X_i(z_1)=\delta_{i,j}z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

A vector w in an $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module is called a *vacuum vector* if $X_{i,n}w = Y_{i,n}w = 0$ for $1 \le i \le r,\ n \ge 0$, and an $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module W equipped with a vacuum vector which generates W is called a *vacuum $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module.*

Denote by $J_{\mathbf{Q}}$ the left ideal of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ generated by the elements

$$X_{i,n},\ Y_{i,n}\ \ (\,1 \le i \le r,\ n \ge 0).$$

Furthermore, set

$$V_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}/J_{\mathbf{Q}},$$

a left $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module, and set

$$\mathbf{1} = 1 + J_{\mathbf{Q}} \in V_{\mathbf{Q}}.$$

Then $\mathbf{1}$ is a vacuum vector and $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ with $\mathbf{1}$ is a vacuum $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module. Moreover, the vacuum $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is universal in the obvious sense. For $1 \le i \le r$, set

$$u^{(i)} = X_{i,-1}\mathbf{1}, \qquad v^{(i)} = Y_{i,-1}\mathbf{1} \in V_{\mathbf{Q}}. \tag{4.3}$$

It was proved in [KL] (cf. [Li5]) that there exists a unique quantum vertex algebra structure on $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ with $\mathbf{1}$ as the vacuum vector and with

$$Y(u^{(i)}, z) = X_i(z), \qquad Y(v^{(i)}, z) = Y_i(z) \qquad \text{for } 1 \le i \le r.$$

$V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ as a nonlocal vertex algebra is generated by the vectors $u^{(i)}, v^{(i)}$ for $1 \le i \le r$. Furthermore, $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a conformal quantum vertex algebra of central charge $-(q_{11} + \cdots + q_{ll})$ with conformal vector

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{r}(v^{(i)}_{-2}u^{(i)} - q_{ii}u^{(i)}_{-2}v^{(i)}) \tag{4.4}$$

and $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$-graded by $L(0)$-weights, where $(V_{\mathbf{Q}})_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and

$$(V_{\mathbf{Q}})_{(1/2)} = \mathrm{span}\{u^{(i)}, v^{(i)} \mid 1 \le i \le r\}.$$

Let N be a positive integer. By observing the defining relations of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$, it is readily to see that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ admits a unique automorphism θ_N such that

$$\theta_N(X_{i,n}) = \omega_N X_{i,n}, \quad \theta_N(Y_{i,n}) = \omega_N^{-1} Y_{i,n} \qquad \text{for } 1 \le i \le r,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.5}$$

As $\theta_N(J_{\mathbf{Q}}) = J_{\mathbf{Q}}$, we see that θ_N gives rise to a linear automorphism, which is also denoted by θ_N, of $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$. We have

$$\theta_N(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}, \qquad \theta_N(u^{(i)}_n w) = \omega_N u^{(i)}_n \theta_N(w), \quad \theta_N(v^{(i)}_n w) = \omega_N^{-1} v^{(i)}_n \theta_N(w)$$

for $1 \le i \le r,\ n \in \mathbb{Z},\ w \in V_{\mathbf{Q}}$, as $u^{(i)}_n = X_{i,n}$ and $v^{(i)}_n = Y_{i,n}$. Since $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ as a nonlocal vertex algebra is generated by the vectors $u^{(i)}, v^{(i)}$ for $1 \le i \le r$, it follows that θ_N is an automorphism of the quantum vertex algebra $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$, where

$$\theta_N(u^{(i)}) = \omega_N u^{(i)}, \qquad \theta_N(v^{(i)}) = \omega_N^{-1} v^{(i)} \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le r. \tag{4.6}$$

From now on, we fix this order-N automorphism θ_N of $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Next, we study θ_N-twisted $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$-modules. To describe θ_N-twisted $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$-modules we shall need a θ_N-twisted analogue of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Definition 4.1. Define $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}[\theta_N]$ to be the associative algebra with identity, with generators

$$X_{i,m}^{\theta},\ Y_{i,n}^{\theta} \quad \text{for } \ 1 \le i \le r,\ m \in \frac{1}{N} + \mathbb{Z},\ n \in -\frac{1}{N} + \mathbb{Z},$$

subject to relations

$$\begin{aligned} &X_{i,m}^{\theta} X_{j,n}^{\theta} = q_{ij} X_{j,n}^{\theta} X_{i,m}^{\theta}, \qquad Y_{i,m}^{\theta} Y_{j,n}^{\theta} = q_{ij} Y_{j,n}^{\theta} Y_{i,m}^{\theta}, \\ &X_{i,m}^{\theta} Y_{j,n}^{\theta} - q_{ji} Y_{j,n}^{\theta} X_{i,m}^{\theta} = \delta_{i,j} \delta_{m+n+1,0} \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

for $1 \le i, j \le r,\ m \in \frac{1}{N} + \mathbb{Z},\ n \in -\frac{1}{N} + \mathbb{Z}$.

For $1 \le i \le r$, form the generating functions

$$X_i^{\theta}(x) = \sum_{m \in \frac{1}{N} + \mathbb{Z}} X_{i,m}^{\theta} x^{-m-1}, \quad Y_i^{\theta}(x) = \sum_{n \in -\frac{1}{N} + \mathbb{Z}} Y_{i,n}^{\theta} x^{-n-1},$$

which are elements of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}[\theta_N][[x^{1/N}, x^{-1/N}]]$. The relations (4.7) now read as

$$\begin{aligned} &X_i^{\theta}(x_1) X_j^{\theta}(x_2) = q_{ij} X_j^{\theta}(x_2) X_i^{\theta}(x_1), \qquad Y_i^{\theta}(x_1) Y_j^{\theta}(x_2) = q_{ji} Y_j^{\theta}(x_2) Y_i^{\theta}(x_1), \\ &X_i^{\theta}(x_1) Y_j^{\theta}(x_2) - q_{ji} Y_j^{\theta}(x_2) X_i^{\theta}(x_1) = \delta_{i,j} x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right) \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

We say that an $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}[\theta_N]$-module W is *restricted* if for any $w \in W,\ 1 \le i \le r$, $X_{i,m} w = Y_{i,n} w = 0$ for $m \in \frac{1}{N} + \mathbb{Z},\ n \in -\frac{1}{N} + \mathbb{Z}$ sufficiently large.

Theorem 4.2. *Let W be any restricted $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}[\theta_N]$-module. Then there exists a unique structure of a θ_N-twisted $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module on W with*

$$Y_W(u^{(i)}, z) = X_i^{\theta}(z), \quad Y_W(v^{(i)}, z) = Y_i^{\theta}(z)$$

for $1 \le i \le r$. On the other hand, for any θ_N-twisted $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module (W, Y_W), W is a restricted $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}[\theta_N]$-module with

$$X_i^{\theta}(z) = Y_W(u^{(i)}, z), \quad Y_i^{\theta}(z) = Y_W(v^{(i)}, z) \qquad \textit{for } 1 \le i \le r.$$

Proof. The uniqueness is clear as $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is generated by $u^{(i)}, v^{(i)}$ $(1 \le i \le r)$. Set

$$U_W = \{X_i^{\theta}(x), Y_i^{\theta}(x) \mid 1 \le i \le r\} \subset \mathcal{E}(W, N).$$

It follows from (4.8) that U_W is an $\mathcal{S}$-local subset of $\mathcal{E}(W, N)$, consisting of homogeneous elements. By Theorem 3.13, U_W generates a weak quantum vertex algebra $\langle U_W \rangle$ on which θ_N acts as an automorphism, and W is a faithful θ_N-twisted $\langle U_W \rangle$-module. With the relations (4.8), it follows from Proposition 2.13 that $\langle U_W \rangle$ is an $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module with $X_{i,n}$ and $Y_{i,n}$ acting as $X_i^{\theta}(x)_n$ and $Y_i^{\theta}(x)_n$ for $1 \le i \le r,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}$, respectively. Since $\langle U_W \rangle$ as a nonlocal vertex algebra is generated by $X_i^{\theta}(x), Y_i^{\theta}$ for

$1 \leq i \leq r$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $\langle U_W \rangle$ is a vacuum $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module with a vacuum vector 1_W. Consequently, there exists an $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module homomorphism ψ from $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ to $\langle U_W \rangle$, sending $\mathbf{1}$ to 1_W. Again, since $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is generated by $u^{(i)}, v^{(i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, it follows that ψ is a homomorphism of nonlocal vertex algebras. Consequently, W has a θ_N-twisted $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module structure as desired.

Now, let (W, Y_W) be a θ_N-twisted $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module. By Proposition 2.13 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1)Y_W(u^{(j)}, x_2) = q_{ij}Y_W(u^{(j)}, x_2)Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1),\\
&Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_1)Y_W(v^{(j)}, x_2) = q_{ji}Y_W(v^{(j)}, x_2)Y_W(v^{(i)}, x_1),\\
&Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1)Y_W(v^{(j)}, x_2) - q_{ji}Y_W(v^{(j)}, x_2)Y_W(u^{(i)}, x_1) = \delta_{i,j}x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)\left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus W is a restricted $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}[\theta_N]$-module with

$$X_i^{\theta}(z) = Y_W(u^{(i)}, z), \quad Y_i^{\theta}(z) = Y_W(v^{(i)}, z) \qquad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 4.3. Let W be any restricted $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{Q}}[\theta_N]$-module. In view of Theorem 4.2, there exists a (unique) θ_N-twisted $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$-module structure Y_W on W such that

$$Y_W(u^{(i)}, x) = X_i^{\theta}(x), \qquad Y_W(v^{(i)}, x) = Y_i^{\theta}(x)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Recall that $V_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a conformal quantum vertex algebra of central charge $-(q_{11} + \cdots + q_{ll})$ with conformal vector

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{r}(v_{-2}^{(i)}u^{(i)} - q_{ii}u_{-2}^{(i)}v^{(i)}).$$

Clearly, $\theta_N(\omega) = \omega$. By Corollary 2.14, W is a module for the Virasoro algebra of central charge $-(q_{11} + \cdots + q_{ll})$ with $L(m)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} L(m)x^{-m-2} = Y_W(\omega, x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \omega_n x^{-n-1}.$$

By using the twisted Jacobi identity one can express $L(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ in terms of $X_{i,m+1/N}^{\theta}$, $Y_{i,m-1/N}^{\theta}$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq i \leq r$.

References

[BK] B. Bakalov and V. Kac, Field algebras, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **3** (2003) 123-159.

[D] C. Dong, Twisted modules for vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra* **165** (1994) 91-112.

[DLM] C. Dong, H.-S. Li, and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998) 571-600.

[FLM1] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, A natural representation of the Fischer-Griess Monster with the modular function J as character, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **81** (1984) 3256-3260.

[FLM2] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster,* Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 134, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.

[EK] P. Etingof and D. Kazhdan, Quantization of Lie bialgebras, V, *Selecta Math. (New Series)* **6** (2000) 105-130.

[FFR] A. Feingold, I. B. Frenkel and J. F. Ries, Spinor construction of vertex operator algebras, triality, and $E_8^{(1)}$, Contemporary Math. 121, 1991.

[Ka] V. Kac, *Vertex Algebras for Beginners*, University Lecture Series **10**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1997.

[KL] M. Karel and H.-S. Li, Some quantum vertex algebras of Zamolodchikov-Faddeev type, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, to appear.

[Le] J. Lepowsky, Calculus of twisted vertex operators, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **82** (1985) 8295-8299.

[LL] J. Lepowsky and H.-S. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Progress in Math. **227**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.

[Li1] H.-S. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **109** (1996) 143-195.

[Li2] H.-S. Li, Local systems of twisted vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and twisted modules, *Contemporary Math.* **193** (1996), 203-236.

[Li3] H.-S. Li, Axiomatic G_1-vertex algebras, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **5** (2003) 281-327.

[Li4] H.-S. Li, Nonlocal vertex algebras generated by formal vertex operators, *Selecta Math. (New Series)* **11** (2005) 349-397.

[Li5] H.-S. Li, Constructing quantum vertex algebras, *International Journal of Mathematics* **17** (2006) 441-476.

[Li6] H.-S. Li, Modules-at-infinity for quantum vertex algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **282** (2008) 819-864.

[W] M. D. Weiner, Bosonic Construction of Vertex Operator Para-Algebras from Sympletic Affine Kac-Moody Algebras, Ph.D thesis, The State University of New York at Binghamton, 1994.

[Xu] X.-P. Xu, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Superalgebras and Their Modules,* Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht/Moston/London, 1998.